

wealth **from** young home-seekers **to** older mortgage-free home-owners.

There has to be a change, but it must be carefully managed for obvious political reasons (older home-owners vote more than younger home-seekers!).

ALTER suggests the following policy programme, which would see an **increase** in households owning a share of their home, an **increase** in house-building and a **stabilisation** in house prices.

1. Tax-free portion of land-value, geared to local Housing Benefit levels<sup>2</sup>, associated with all principal dwellings;
2. Domestic property tax levied on owners, not occupiers (requiring completion of the Land Register) – including social housing (but providers could transfer part-share to tenants, so they can claim HA);
3. National land valuation within five years, accompanied by gradual shift from ‘gross’ (building+land) ‘Mansion Tax’ to site-only basis ‘modernised domestic property tax’;
4. Re-introduction of something like former ‘Schedule A’ (notional rental ‘income’ of owner-occupation, levied through income tax) – but based on annual land-value;
5. Option of pensioners and benefit recipients to defer payment of property tax until death/sale/re-mortgage.

### Liberal Democrat Action for Land Taxation and Economic Reform

Author: Dr Tony Vickers

Contact us at [info@libdemsalter.org.uk](mailto:info@libdemsalter.org.uk)

One of a series of LVT information sheets, editor D Cooper ©ALTER MMX,MMXI  
Printed and published by P. Elderton, 86 Rothes Road Dorking, Surrey RH4 1LB

<sup>2</sup> This would need to be initially set high enough to ensure most pay less than under Council Tax.

**The price of a house varies nationally.** So LVT needs to be primarily a national tax (see our leaflet ‘LVT: Local or National?’).

**House prices change with time.** Different factors affect price/value in different locations: from a bus stop moving to a new motorway passing nearby. Regular revaluations need to be done if any annual property tax is to remain fair.

The biggest problem with the old rating system – and with Council Tax now – is the failure of Government to ensure regular revaluations, coupled with the lack of a national element to the tax, which would ensure fairness as between local authorities.<sup>1</sup>

As time passes since the last valuation, more people are paying too much (or too little). The longer one delays a revaluation, the more protests there will be by ‘losers’ when it is eventually done.

It is no good trying to restrict domestic property tax to new homes. Apart from the artificiality of assessing what a new dwelling **would have** been worth in 1992 (which is what happens now when deciding which CT Band to assign it to), it is very unfair on the owners of new homes. The uplift in value which results from all new infrastructure that has been built since 1992 is all piled onto the developers and eventually occupiers of a few new homes, while everyone else gets a ‘free lunch’.

An example is what happened when the Newbury Bypass was built. Local residents gloated that their homes gained 20% in value overnight, because they now benefited from the reduced congestion and improved access to the rest of the country. But only the Council Tax on newly built properties was increased. It can work the other way, just as unfairly, if something is built near homes which reduces their value.

A more fundamental unfairness exists with a property tax that does not distinguish between the element of value arising from

<sup>1</sup> Both problems were avoided with non-domestic rating, hence ‘Uniform’ Business Rates (UBR).

what the owner and occupier do the house – which they've earned - and that which is entirely due to location factors or luck. That is where LVT comes in. There would be no tax on the building: only the annual rental value of the site would be taxed.

It is important to emphasise **rental** value, because rents vary over time much less than capital / market prices of dwellings. It is said that people are more familiar with house **prices** than rents. However there is a more than sufficient volume of domestic rental transactions for competent valuers to both separate the site from the building and to assess rental value. Other countries, with more modern property tax systems than ours, regularly assess site values separate from buildings (even if they don't have LVT) and produce 'value maps' to make the tax base transparent. These maps can be accessed online.

Current Lib Dem policy (moving business rates to a site-value basis) will help the housing market significantly – but not enough. Underused commercial land currently paying little or no rates will cost owners more to hold, thus become more likely to be built out in whatever use is most appropriate – and in most of the country it is homes that are most needed.

The whole national economy would benefit from a gradual but determined policy shift away from taxing earnings to taxing the 'locked away' unearned wealth in our housing stock. The Co-op Party's 2009 Manifesto, which called for LVT, expressed it well:-

*“A key policy concern for the future has to be to keep growth in house prices consistent with other parts of the economy.... the shortage of homes in the UK has been artificially created by a poorly functioning property market.”*

Between 1995 and 2006 the total UK domestic mortgage debt rose from 54% to 84% of GDP and the value of housing stock rose from 142% to 268% of GDP. Meanwhile the average household income needed by first-timers to buy increased by 200%. This represents a massive, unsustainable transfer of



THE LIBERAL DEMOCRATS

ALTER

ACTION FOR LAND TAXATION & ECONOMIC REFORM

## HOUSING AND LAND VALUE TAXATION

The housing market isn't working and is grossly unfair. The only people who can afford to buy a house are those who already have one – or can turn to the 'bank of mum and dad'!

As Lloyd George said: "You cannot build houses without land". It is actually the **land** market that isn't working – and a land-value tax (LVT) on housing land is the answer. In LG's day, most people were tenants; today most are owner-occupiers. Therein lies the political problem. So introducing LVT on residential land is a very different political challenge to the one he faced.

We had domestic rating until the Poll Tax of 1989 – and now we have Council Tax, which is a kind of local property tax. Until 2007, Lib Dems opposed any domestic property tax but now it is a long-term policy commitment to have LVT on all land. This leaflet sets out why that is and how we'd do it.



A  
L  
T  
E  
R